Case 2:21-cv-00766 (CFV/LL POON) ENT SHE 2:21-cv-00766 (CFV/LL POO

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
BARBARA KURZMANN				HATBORO FEDERAL SAVINGS					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Bucks				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant					
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)					
Mansour Law, LL	C			Semanoff, Ormsby, Greenberg & Torchia, LLC 2617 Huntingdon Pike, Huntingdon Valley, PA 19006					
Tel: (610) 321-353	n St., Ste. 205, Allentow 88	n, PA 18101		Tel: (215) 887		·	ney, PA 190	06	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaints)						or Plaintiff			
1 U.S. Government x 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only			and One Box for			
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citize	en of This State	<u> </u>	Incorporated or P of Business In		4	□ 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizo	en of Another State	2	2 Incorporated <i>and</i> of Business In		<u> </u>	5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3	3 Foreign Nation		<u> </u>	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	FC	Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions. FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES					
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		5 Drug Related Seizure		422 Appeal 28 USC 158	375 False 0		
120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/	69	of Property 21 USC 88 0 Other	1	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	376 Qui Ta 3729(a 400 State F	a)) `	
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury				PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	410 Antitro 430 Banks	ıst	
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			Н	830 Patent	450 Comm	erce	ng
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product			Ш	835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	460 Depor		nced and
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability	SY /	V + DOD		840 Trademark	Corrup	t Organiza	tions
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud		0 Fair Labor Standards	\blacksquare	880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016	480 Consu (15 U	mer Credit SC 1681 oi	
160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	F ₇₃	Act	_	COCIAL CECUDITY	485 Teleph	ione Consu	ımer
195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		0 Labor/Management Relations	F	861 HIA (1395ff)	490 Cable/		
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability		0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical	Я	862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	850 Securi Excha		odities/
	Medical Malpractice			Leave Act	Ľ	864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other	Statutory A	
210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:		0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement	Н	865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricu 893 Enviro		
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	895 Freedo		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	442 Employment 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence			Ш	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	Act 896 Arbitra	ation	
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations	530 General				871 IRS—Third Party	899 Admir	istrative P	
290 All Other Real Property	x 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	535 Death Penalty Other:	46	IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Applicat	ion	26 USC 7609		view or A y Decision	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights	er 46	5 Other Immigration Actions			950 Consti	tutionality tatutes	of
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition		Actions			State 8	tatutes	
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	0 0 0 1)	Confinement							
x 1 Original 2 Ren	moved from 3 I	Remanded from Appellate Court]4 Rein Reop	ened Anot	ther Di	strict Litigation	1 1	Multidis Litigatio	on -
	Cite the U.S. Civil Stat	tute under which you ar	e filing (1	(spec		Transfer		Direct I	ile
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	42 U.S.C. § 12101	·	· 1111118 (1	o not ene jii isinenomi.					
VI. CHOSE OF HETIC	Brief description of ca ADA Retaliation and Int								
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: ▼Yes No									
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD 02/19/2021 N. M. ANSON									
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		WANNAM 1.	11,00	~~~					
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JU	DGE		

Case 2:21-cv-00766-KNIMED SPOCHISIPISTRICF HOOLE 2/19/21 Page 2 of 11 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:	604 Beverly Road, Southampton	, PA 18966		
Address of Defendant:	221 S. York Road, Hatboro, F	PA 19040		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Hatboro, Montgomery County, PA				
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:				
Case Number:	Judge:	Date Terminated:		
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to	to any of the following questions:			
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?				
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No Verification in this court?				
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?				
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No Ves				
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above. DATE: O2/19/2021 Attorney-at-Law/Pro Se Plaintiff (ight) Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)				
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)				
CIVIL: (Place a √in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction (Cases:		
	1. Insurance Contr 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defama 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle F 6. Other Personal 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili 9. All other Divers (Please specify):	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation 1 Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity ity — Asbestos sity Cases		
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and A. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation I Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity Ity — Asbestos sity Cases		
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and A. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of Management Contract, and A.	1. Insurance Control 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defama 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle I 6. Other Personal 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili 9. All other Divers (Please specify):	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation I Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity Ity — Asbestos sity Cases		
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and A. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of the Contract of Cases) (The effect of Cases) I, William P. Mansour, contract of Contract, and A.	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION ARBITRATION certification is to remove the case from eligibility. that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the definite rest and costs:	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation 1 Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity ity — Asbestos sity Cases for arbitration.)		
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and A. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2) exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION ARBITRATION certification is to remove the case from eligibility. that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the definite rest and costs:	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation 1 Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity ity — Asbestos sity Cases for arbitration.)		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address		
(610) 321-3538	(610) 798-1345	wpm@themansourfirm.	com	
02/19/2021 Date	William P. Mansour Attorney-at-law	Barbara Kurzmann Attorney for		
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall into any or	ne of the other tracks.	(X)	
commonly referred to as	ases that do not fall into tracks (a complex and that need special or de of this form for a detailed exp	r intense management by	()	
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.				
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()				
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()				
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases b	rought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 t	hrough § 2255.	()	
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CASE MANAGEN	MENT TRACKS:		
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.				
Hatboro Federal Savings	: :	NO.		
v.	:			
Barbara Kurzmann	; ·	CIVIL ACTION		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Barbara Kurzmann

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BARBARA KURZMANN,)
Plaintiff,) No
v.))
HATBORO FEDERAL SAVINGS ,) CIVIL ACTION – LAW
Defendant.)))

COMPLAINT

NOW COMES, Plaintiff BARBARA KURZMANN ("Plaintiff"), by and through her undersigned counsel, who hereby complains against Defendant HATBORO FEDERAL SAVINGS ("Defendant") as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This employment retaliation and interference action arises under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq. ("ADA"). Specifically, as set forth in more detail herein, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant interfered with the exercise of her ADA rights and discharged her in retaliation for making a good-faith complaint of unlawful conduct under the ADA.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff is an adult individual currently residing in Southampton, Bucks County, Pennsylvania.
- 3. Defendant is a community bank organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Defendant's principal place of business is located 221 S. York Road, Hatboro, PA 19040. Defendant employed at least 15 employees in each of at least 20 working weeks in 2016 and 2017.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this action arises under the laws of the United States.
- 2. Venue in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because the events giving rise to this action occurred within this district.
- 3. On March 13, 2017, Plaintiff timely filed a Charge of Discrimination against Defendant with the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC").
- 4. On December 9, 2020, EEOC issued Plaintiff a Notice of Right to Sue, a copy of which is attached hereto as **EXHIBIT A.** This action is being commenced within ninety (90) days of Plaintiff's receipt of that Notice.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 5. Defendant hired Plaintiff in 1998 as a Teller at its Hatboro, PA branch. In July 2007, Defendant promoted Plaintiff to Branch Manager at its Warminster, PA branch.
- 6. From that time up to and through Ms. Kurzmann's termination on August 17, 2016, Hatboro maintained a Leave Without Pay policy, which provided as follows:
 - Leave without pay is subject to approval by the President. It is only granted in extraordinary circumstances. Please note that if any employee reaches a "no-pay" status and takes "no-pay" days off during the calendar year, without written permission of the President, disciplinary action up to and including immediate termination may be taken."
- 7. Beginning in February 2012 and continuing through her termination date, Plaintiff experienced numerous serious medical issues that required her to undergo several surgeries and other forms of medical treatment. In April 2014, for example, Plaintiff underwent a bone scan at Fox Chase Cancer Center. In June, July, and September 2015 and January, February, and July 2016, Plaintiff again received cancer-related medical treatment requiring her to use her paid time off ("PTO").

- 8. In each instance, Defendant's CEO, Linda Roehner, made it a point to "remind" Plaintiff about Hatboro's Leave Without Pay policy outlined above, making it absolutely clear that if Plaintiff went over her allotted PTO days, she would be subject to disciplinary action, up to and including termination.
- 9. In July 2016, Plaintiff notified Ms. Roehner that, following the results of an ultrasound, she would need to undergo another surgery in August 2016. During this verbal conversation, Ms. Roehner again made it a point to implicitly threaten Plaintiff with discipline in the event she took unpaid time off. "You know what happens with no-pay days," Ms. Roehner responded.
- 10. Out of fear of losing her job because she had exhausted all her paid time off, Plaintiff decided, in consultation with her treating physician, to put off the needed surgery.
- 11. On or about August 12, 2016, Ms. Roehner, Defendant's Vice President John Douglas, and Manager Suzanne Rush had a meeting with Plaintiff to place her on a probationary plan based on alleged performance deficiencies, most of which occurred many months or years earlier.
- 12. During this meeting, Plaintiff requested a meeting with Defendant's Board of Directors to discuss Defendant's illegal unpaid leave policy.
- 13. Ms. Roehner told Plaintiff to "take the weekend to think about it" and that they would have a follow-up meeting on Monday, August 15, 2016.
- 14. On August 15, 2016, Plaintiff called Ms. Roehner and made a second request to meet with Defendant's Board of Directors. Plaintiff reminded Ms. Roehner that, under Defendant's Whistleblower Policy, she wanted to bring Defendant's unlawful unpaid leave policy to the Board's attention. Ms. Roehner asked, "Why would you want to bring this to the Board's attention and air our dirty laundry?" Plaintiff replied that she wanted to discuss the unpaid leave policy with the Board because she felt like Ms. Roehner was harassing her and threatening termination if she took unpaid time off to receive treatment for her serious medical conditions.

- 15. On August 16, 2016, during her lunch break, Plaintiff ran into one of Defendant's Board members, Franklin Jarrett, at a Tag & Title location. During their conversation, Plaintiff informed Mr. Jarrett that she was concerned about Defendant's discriminatory unpaid leave policy and was afraid she would get fired if she had to take unpaid leave to receive medical treatment. She stated that she feels bullied by Ms. Roehner anytime she mentions her need for time off to receive medical treatment. Further, as she did with Ms. Roehner, Plaintiff told Mr. Jarrett that she wanted a meeting with Defendant's Board to discuss the bank's unpaid leave policy.
- 16. On the morning of August 17, 2016, Plaintiff received a phone call requesting that she come to Ms. Roehner's office. When she arrived, Ms. Roehner and Mr. Douglas were there waiting for her. They were both visibly angry.
- 17. Ms. Roehner told Plaintiff that she had received a call from Mr. Jarrett in which he relayed to her the substance of Plaintiff's conversation with him. Plaintiff confirmed her conversation with Mr. Jarrett, reiterated her desire to meet with the Board, and stated that she was tired of being threatened with termination if she needed to take time off to receive medical treatment.
- 18. During this conversation, Plaintiff further complained about Ms. Roehner's treatment of Kathy Malonowski, a deaf bank teller, and her constant harassment of Ms. Malonowski over her hearing difficulties. When Plaintiff was done speaking, Ms. Roehner quickly responded: "We no longer need your services." With that, Plaintiff was terminated from her position with Defendant.
 - 19. On March 13, 2017, Plaintiff filed a Charge of Discrimination with the EEOC.
- 20. After an exhaustive investigation, the EEOC entered into a Settlement Agreement with Defendant relative to Plaintiff's Charge. Plaintiff was not a party to this Settlement Agreement and said agreement did not affect Plaintiff's right to bring this action. **See EXHIBIT**

В.

- 21. As part of its Settlement Agreement with the EEOC, Defendant agreed to revise the "Leave Without Pay" and "Leave of Absence" sections of its company handbook "to address protections under the American's with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended." **See Ex. B, p. 3.**
- 22. The Settlement Agreement also required Defendant to revise the "Americans with Disabilities Act" section of its company handbook to "include language that addresses its anti-retaliation policy[,] which again covers requests for accommodations. Respondent will also revise the reasonable accommodation section to include further language addressing undue hardship and the interactive process." **See Ex. B, p. 3.**
- 23. Finally, the Settlement Agreement also required Defendant to revise the "Attendance and Punctuality" section of its company handbook to "include language that addresses attendance and punctuality issues with respect to disabilities as defined by the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended." **See Ex. B, p. 3.**

COUNT I RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a), THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

- 24. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding allegations as if same were set forth more fully at length herein.
- 25. On August 12, 2016, Plaintiff made a good-faith complaint to Ms. Roehner, Mr. Douglas, and Ms. Rush about what she perceived to be Defendant's illegal and discriminatory "Leave Without Pay" policy and requested a meeting with Defendant's Board of Directors to discuss that policy.
- 26. On August 15, 2016, Plaintiff made a second good-faith complaint to Ms. Roehner about Defendant's illegal and discriminatory "Leave Without Pay" policy and, again, requested a meeting with Defendant's Board of Directors to discuss that policy.
- 27. On August 16, 2016, Plaintiff made a third good-faith complaint to Mr. Jarrett, one of Defendant's Board members, about what she perceived to be Defendant's illegal and

discriminatory "Leave Without Pay" policy and Ms. Roehner's enforcement of that policy. She again requested a meeting with Defendant's Board of Directors to discuss that policy.

- 28. On August 17, 2016, within days of making these good-faith complaints to Ms. Roehner, Mr. Douglas, Ms. Rush, and Mr. Jarrett, Defendant, by and through the actions of Ms. Roehner, discharged Plaintiff from her employment.
- 29. Ms. Roehner discharged Plaintiff without providing any stated reasons and without any intervening events between August 12, 2016 and August 16, 2016 that would justify her discharge.
- 30. Defendant, by and through the actions of Ms. Roehner, discharged Plaintiff in retaliation for her good-faith complaints about Defendant's "Leave Without Pay" policy to Mr. Roehner, Mr. Douglas, Ms. Rush, and Mr. Jarrett.
- 31. As a direct and proximate result of her retaliatory discharge, Plaintiff suffered past and future lost wages and loss of earning capacity.
- 32. As a direct and proximate result of her retaliatory discharge, Plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress, mental anguish, embarrassment, and financial insecurity.
- 33. By retaliating against Plaintiff for making good-faith complaints about Defendant's illegal and discriminatory "Leave Without Pay" policy, Defendant intentionally or recklessly violated Plaintiff's federal statutory rights.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter judgment in her favor and against Defendant and award the following relief:

- a. All back pay from the date of termination through the date of judgment, plus pre- and post-judgment interest;
- b. Front pay from the date of judgment through a date deemed equitable and just by the court;
- c. Compensatory damages in an amount to be determined by a jury;
- d. Punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a jury;

- e. All costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and
- f. Any other relief deemed proper and just.

COUNT II

INTERFERENCE, THREATENING, AND INTIMIDATION IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 12203(b), THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

- 34. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding allegations as if same were set forth more fully at length herein.
- 35. On numerous occasions, as outlined above, Ms. Roehner expressly and impliedly threatened Plaintiff with discipline, up to and including termination, in the event she took unpaid leave to treat her medical conditions.
- 36. In particular, in July 2016, Plaintiff notified Ms. Roehner of her need to take unpaid leave to undergo a necessary surgery in August 2016. This was a lawful request for a reasonable accommodation under the ADA.
- 37. Ms. Roehner intimidated Plaintiff and impliedly threatened her with discipline if she took unpaid leave for such surgery by telling Plaintiff "you know what happens with no-pay days."
- 38. As a direct and proximate result of Ms. Roehner's interference, threats, and intimidation, Plaintiff did, in fact, delay her needed surgery. This caused Plaintiff additional fear and stress because her serious medical condition would remain unaddressed.
- 39. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's interference, threats, and intimidation, Plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress, mental anguish, embarrassment, and financial insecurity.
- 40. By intimidating, threatening, and interfering with Plaintiff's exercise and enjoyment of her right to a reasonable accommodation, Defendant intentionally or recklessly violated Plaintiff's federal statutory rights.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter judgment in her favor and against Defendant and award the following relief:

- a. Compensatory damages in an amount to be determined by a jury;
- b. Punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a jury;
- c. All costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and
- d. Any other relief deemed proper and just.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff BARBARA KURZMANN demands a trial by jury for all claims so triable.

Respectfully Submitted,

MANSOUR LAW, LLC

Date: February 19, 2021 BY: <u>/s/ William P. Mansour</u>

William P. Mansour, Esquire Pa. Attorney ID No. 318833

Mansour Law, LLC

1101 W. Hamilton St., Ste. 205

Allentown, PA 18101 Tel: (610) 321-3538 Fax: (610) 798-1345

Email: wpm@themansourfirm.com

Attorney for Plaintiff Barbara Kurzmann